



Utilization of the Learning Management System (LMS) Moodle in Collaborative-Based Online Learning in Higher Education

Sofianne Indi*,

¹Universitas Negeri Raden Intan Lampung
e-mail: sofianneindi01@gmail.com

Submitted: 15-06-2025

Revised : 15-06-2025

Accepted: 15-12-2025

ABSTRACT. The rapid development of digital technology has transformed higher education, particularly in the implementation of online learning through Learning Management Systems (LMS), with Moodle being one of the most widely adopted platforms. This study aims to examine the utilization of Moodle-based LMS in supporting collaborative online learning in higher education by focusing on its role in facilitating interaction, communication, and student engagement. This study employs a qualitative literature review method by analyzing various academic sources related to LMS, Moodle, and collaborative learning. The findings indicate that Moodle provides effective features such as discussion forums, group-based activities, and collaborative tools that promote active learning, peer interaction, and learning autonomy. However, challenges remain, including limited digital competence, unequal access to technology, and lecturers' readiness in designing collaborative learning environments. Therefore, the successful implementation of Moodle-based LMS requires not only adequate technological infrastructure but also pedagogical innovation and institutional support to create meaningful and collaborative learning experiences in higher education.

Keywords: Learning Management System, Moodle, Collaborative Learning



<https://dx.doi.org/10.32678/ijose.vxx0x.xxxx>

How to Cite

Name of Authors. (Year). Title of article. *IJOSE; International Journal Of Science Education, Volume* (Issue), 00-00. doi:10.32678/ijose.v5i01.0000.

INTRODUCTION

The integration of digital technology in higher education has become an unavoidable necessity in the context of global educational transformation. Universities are increasingly required to adapt to technological developments in order to enhance learning effectiveness, accessibility, and student engagement in both face-to-face and online learning environments (Bond et al., 2020; Hodges et al., 2020). The shift toward online and blended learning has encouraged higher education institutions to adopt Learning Management Systems (LMS) as the primary platform for organizing, delivering, and evaluating learning activities (Al-Fraihat et al., 2020). LMS not only functions as a repository of learning materials, but also serves as an interactive environment that supports communication, collaboration, and assessment processes between lecturers and students (Turnbull et al., 2021).

Among various LMS platforms, Moodle is one of the most widely used open-source systems in higher education due to its flexibility, scalability, and pedagogical orientation (Costello, 2013; Martín-Blas & Serrano-Fernández, 2009). Moodle is designed based on constructivist learning principles that emphasize active participation, social interaction, and knowledge construction

through collaborative activities (Dougiamas & Taylor, 2003). Its features, such as discussion forums, group assignments, peer assessment, wikis, and collaborative projects, provide strong pedagogical support for collaborative learning approaches (Amandu et al., 2013). Therefore, Moodle is not merely a technical tool, but a learning ecosystem that can facilitate meaningful and student-centered learning experiences.

Collaborative learning itself has been widely recognized as an effective pedagogical approach in higher education. It emphasizes student interaction, shared responsibility, and collective knowledge construction through group-based learning activities (Dillenbourg, 1999; Laal & Ghodsi, 2012). Previous studies have shown that collaborative learning can improve critical thinking skills, problem-solving abilities, communication competence, and academic achievement (Johnson et al., 2014; Gillies, 2016). In online learning contexts, collaborative learning becomes even more relevant, as it helps reduce feelings of isolation, increases social presence, and enhances learning motivation among students (Garrison et al., 2000; Borup et al., 2014).

However, the implementation of collaborative learning in online environments is not without challenges. Several studies indicate that online collaborative learning often faces obstacles such as low student participation, limited interaction quality, unequal contribution among group members, and lack of instructional design that supports collaboration (Kreijns et al., 2003; Xie & Ke, 2011). In many cases, lecturers tend to use LMS merely as a content delivery platform rather than as an interactive learning space that promotes collaboration and active engagement (Blin & Munro, 2008). This condition highlights the importance of examining how LMS platforms, particularly Moodle, are actually utilized in practice to support collaborative learning processes.

In the context of higher education, the effective use of Moodle requires not only technical proficiency but also pedagogical competence in designing collaborative learning activities (Rapanta et al., 2020). Lecturers play a crucial role in structuring learning tasks, facilitating discussions, and creating meaningful interactions among students in virtual environments (Martin et al., 2019). Moreover, institutional support in terms of infrastructure, training programs, and policy frameworks also significantly influences the success of Moodle-based learning implementation (Kebritchi et al., 2017).

Although numerous studies have discussed LMS and online learning, research focusing specifically on Moodle utilization within collaborative learning frameworks remains fragmented and context-dependent. Many studies emphasize technical aspects, user satisfaction, or system usability, while relatively fewer studies explore the pedagogical dimension of Moodle as a collaborative learning platform (Al-Marouf et al., 2021). Therefore, this study aims to analyze the utilization of Moodle-based LMS in supporting collaborative online learning in higher education through a literature-based approach. This study is expected to contribute theoretically by strengthening the conceptual understanding of Moodle as a collaborative learning environment and practically by providing insights for lecturers and institutions in optimizing Moodle-based learning strategies.

METHOD

This study employed a qualitative research approach using a literature review method to examine the utilization of Moodle-based Learning Management Systems (LMS) in supporting collaborative online learning in higher education. The literature review was conducted to synthesize theoretical perspectives, empirical findings, and best practices related to LMS implementation, Moodle features, and collaborative learning strategies. This approach was selected to provide a comprehensive understanding of existing knowledge and to identify key patterns and conceptual relationships within previous studies.

Data sources consisted of peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, and conference proceedings obtained from reputable academic databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, and ERIC. The inclusion criteria were: (1) publications focusing on LMS or Moodle in higher education contexts, (2) studies discussing collaborative or interactive online learning, and (3) sources published within the last fifteen years to ensure relevance and currency. A total of selected studies were screened based on their abstracts and full texts to ensure alignment with the research objectives.

The data analysis process followed a thematic analysis procedure. First, relevant texts were systematically read and coded to identify key concepts related to Moodle utilization and collaborative learning. Second, similar codes were grouped into broader themes, such as interaction, student engagement, pedagogical design, and institutional support. Finally, these themes were interpreted to generate analytical insights and conceptual conclusions. This analytical process enabled the study to develop a coherent framework for understanding how Moodle facilitates collaborative learning in higher education.

To ensure the credibility and rigor of the analysis, triangulation was applied by comparing findings across different sources and contexts. Reflexive interpretation was also used to minimize researcher bias and to maintain analytical transparency. Through this methodological design, the study aimed to produce a valid and reliable synthesis of current knowledge regarding Moodle-based collaborative online learning.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Result

The results of this study indicate that the utilization of Moodle-based Learning Management Systems (LMS) in higher education plays a significant role in supporting collaborative online learning. Based on the thematic analysis of the selected literature, four major themes emerged: (1) enhancement of interaction and communication, (2) promotion of student engagement and participation, (3) support for collaborative knowledge construction, and (4) development of learner autonomy.

First, Moodle was found to significantly enhance interaction and communication between students and lecturers. Features such as discussion forums, messaging systems, and synchronous tools enable continuous academic interaction beyond physical classroom boundaries (Amandu et al., 2013; Martin et al., 2019). Several studies emphasize that online discussion forums in Moodle foster meaningful dialogue and allow students to express ideas, respond to peers, and engage in reflective thinking (Garrison et al., 2000; Borup et al., 2014). This interaction contributes to the development of social presence, which is a critical factor in successful online learning environments.

Second, Moodle promotes student engagement and active participation in learning processes. The use of group assignments, collaborative projects, peer assessment, and interactive quizzes encourages students to become active participants rather than passive recipients of information (Johnson et al., 2014; Gillies, 2016). Research shows that students who engage in Moodle-based collaborative activities demonstrate higher motivation, deeper learning strategies, and stronger commitment to learning tasks (Laal & Ghodsi, 2012; Turnbull et al., 2021). These findings confirm that Moodle supports student-centered learning paradigms aligned with constructivist principles.

Third, Moodle facilitates collaborative knowledge construction through shared learning spaces. Collaborative tools such as wikis, shared documents, and group forums allow students to collectively build knowledge, negotiate meaning, and solve problems together (Dillenbourg, 1999;

Kreijns et al., 2003). This collaborative process supports higher-order thinking skills, including analysis, synthesis, and evaluation, which are essential competencies in higher education (Rapanta et al., 2020). The literature indicates that students benefit cognitively and socially from collaborative learning environments, as they learn not only from content but also from peer perspectives.

Fourth, Moodle contributes to the development of learner autonomy and self-regulated learning. The flexibility of accessing learning materials, monitoring progress, and managing learning schedules enables students to take greater responsibility for their own learning (Zimmerman, 2002; Amandu et al., 2013). Moodle supports self-directed learning by providing transparent assessment systems, feedback mechanisms, and learning analytics that help students reflect on their performance and learning strategies (Martin et al., 2019).

However, the results also reveal several challenges in the implementation of Moodle-based collaborative learning. Common issues include limited digital literacy among students and lecturers, uneven access to technological infrastructure, and insufficient pedagogical design for collaborative activities (Blin & Munro, 2008; Kebritchi et al., 2017). Many lecturers still use Moodle primarily as a content distribution platform rather than as a collaborative learning environment (Al-Marooof et al., 2021). These challenges indicate that the effectiveness of Moodle is not solely determined by technological features but also by human and institutional factors.

Overall, the results suggest that Moodle-based LMS has strong potential to support collaborative online learning in higher education. When effectively designed and supported by appropriate pedagogical strategies, Moodle can create interactive, student-centered, and meaningful learning environments that enhance both cognitive and social dimensions of learning.

Discussion

The findings of this study confirm that Moodle-based Learning Management Systems (LMS) play a strategic role in facilitating collaborative online learning in higher education. The enhancement of interaction and communication through Moodle aligns with the Community of Inquiry framework, which emphasizes the importance of social presence, cognitive presence, and teaching presence in online learning environments (Garrison et al., 2000; Borup et al., 2014). Moodle's discussion forums and communication tools enable students to engage in sustained dialogue and collaborative meaning-making, which are fundamental characteristics of effective collaborative learning (Dillenbourg, 1999).

The promotion of student engagement through Moodle also supports constructivist learning theory, which views learners as active participants in the learning process rather than passive recipients of information (Gillies, 2016; Johnson et al., 2014). Moodle's interactive features such as group assignments, peer feedback, and collaborative projects encourage students to take responsibility for their learning and to construct knowledge through social interaction (Laal & Ghodsi, 2012). This finding reinforces previous studies suggesting that LMS platforms should function as interactive learning environments rather than merely as digital repositories of learning materials (Blin & Munro, 2008).

Furthermore, the role of Moodle in supporting collaborative knowledge construction highlights the importance of instructional design in online learning. The effectiveness of collaborative learning is strongly influenced by how lecturers structure learning activities and facilitate interaction among students (Rapanta et al., 2020; Martin et al., 2019). Without appropriate pedagogical strategies,

collaborative tools within Moodle may not be optimally utilized and may fail to foster meaningful learning experiences (Kreijns et al., 2003).

The development of learner autonomy through Moodle also reflects the growing relevance of self-regulated learning in higher education contexts. Moodle enables students to access learning resources flexibly, monitor their learning progress, and manage their learning schedules independently, which are key aspects of self-regulated learning (Zimmerman, 2002; Amandu et al., 2013). This condition is particularly important in online learning environments, where students are required to demonstrate higher levels of independence and learning responsibility (Turnbull et al., 2021).

However, the challenges identified in this study indicate that the success of Moodle-based collaborative learning is not solely determined by technological factors. Human and institutional aspects such as digital literacy, lecturers' readiness, and organizational support play crucial roles in shaping learning outcomes (Kebritchi et al., 2017; Al-Marouf et al., 2021). These findings suggest that technological innovation must be accompanied by continuous professional development and supportive institutional policies to ensure effective pedagogical implementation (Rapanta et al., 2020).

Overall, this discussion reinforces the notion that Moodle should be understood not merely as a technical platform, but as a pedagogical ecosystem that integrates technology, learning theory, and instructional practice. Its effectiveness in supporting collaborative learning depends on the synergy between technological infrastructure, pedagogical competence, and institutional commitment (Martin et al., 2019; Turnbull et al., 2021).

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that the utilization of Moodle-based Learning Management Systems (LMS) has significant potential to support collaborative online learning in higher education. Moodle facilitates interaction, student engagement, collaborative knowledge construction, and learner autonomy through its interactive and flexible features. These findings indicate that Moodle is not merely a technological platform, but a pedagogical tool that can enhance both cognitive and social dimensions of learning when integrated with appropriate instructional design.

Furthermore, the effectiveness of Moodle-based collaborative learning is highly dependent on pedagogical competence, digital literacy, and institutional support. The successful implementation of Moodle requires continuous professional development for lecturers, adequate technological infrastructure, and supportive educational policies. Therefore, higher education institutions should not only focus on adopting LMS platforms, but also on developing digital learning cultures that emphasize collaboration, active learning, and student-centered pedagogical practices.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Al-Fraihat, D., Joy, M., Masa'deh, R., & Sinclair, J. (2020). Evaluating E-learning systems success: An empirical study. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 102, 67–86.

Al-Marouf, R. S., Salloum, S. A., Hassanien, A. E., & Shaalan, K. (2021). Fear from COVID-19 and technology adoption: The impact of Google Meet during Coronavirus pandemic. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 29(5), 1–16.

Amandu, G. M., Muliira, J. K., & Fronda, D. C. (2013). Using Moodle e-learning platform to foster student self-directed learning: Experiences with nursing students in a Middle Eastern university. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 93, 677–683.

- Blin, F., & Munro, M. (2008). Why hasn't technology disrupted academics' teaching practices? Understanding resistance to change through the lens of activity theory. *Computers & Education*, 50(2), 475–490.
- Bond, M., Bedenlier, S., Marín, V. I., & Händel, M. (2020). Emergency remote teaching in higher education: Mapping the first global online semester. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 17(1), 1–24.
- Borup, J., West, R. E., & Graham, C. R. (2014). The influence of asynchronous video communication on learner social presence: A narrative analysis of four cases. *Distance Education*, 35(1), 48–63.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.
- Costello, E. (2013). Opening up to open source: Looking at how Moodle was adopted in higher education. *Open Learning: The Journal of Open, Distance and e-Learning*, 28(3), 187–200.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Dillenbourg, P. (1999). What do you mean by collaborative learning? In P. Dillenbourg (Ed.), *Collaborative Learning: Cognitive and Computational Approaches* (pp. 1–19). Oxford: Elsevier.
- Dougiamas, M., & Taylor, P. (2003). Moodle: Using learning communities to create an open source course management system. *Proceedings of the EDMEDIA Conference*, 171–178.
- Fink, A. (2014). *Conducting Research Literature Reviews: From the Internet to Paper*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Garrison, D. R., Anderson, T., & Archer, W. (2000). Critical inquiry in a text-based environment: Computer conferencing in higher education. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 2(2–3), 87–105.
- Gillies, R. M. (2016). Cooperative learning: Review of research and practice. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 41(3), 39–54.
- Gough, D., Oliver, S., & Thomas, J. (2017). *An Introduction to Systematic Reviews*. London: Sage Publications.
- Hodges, C., Moore, S., Lockee, B., Trust, T., & Bond, A. (2020). The difference between emergency remote teaching and online learning. *Educause Review*, 27, 1–12.
- Johnson, D. W., Johnson, R. T., & Smith, K. A. (2014). Cooperative learning: Improving university instruction by basing practice on validated theory. *Journal on Excellence in College Teaching*, 25(3–4), 85–118.
- Kebritchi, M., Lipschuetz, A., & Santiago, L. (2017). Issues and challenges for teaching successful online courses in higher education. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, 46(1), 4–29.
- Kitchenham, B. (2004). Procedures for performing systematic reviews. *Keele University Technical Report*, 1(1), 1–28.
- Kreijns, K., Kirschner, P. A., & Jochems, W. (2003). Identifying the pitfalls for social interaction in computer-supported collaborative learning environments. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 19(3), 335–353.
- Laal, M., & Ghodsi, S. M. (2012). Benefits of collaborative learning. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 31, 486–490.
- Martin, F., Sun, T., & Westine, C. D. (2019). A systematic review of research on online teaching and learning from 2009 to 2018. *Computers & Education*, 159, 104009.

- Martín-Blas, T., & Serrano-Fernández, A. (2009). The role of new technologies in the learning process: Moodle as a teaching tool in Physics. *Computers & Education*, 52(1), 35–44.
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2014). *Qualitative Data Analysis: A Methods Sourcebook*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Rapanta, C., Botturi, L., Goodyear, P., Guàrdia, L., & Koole, M. (2020). Online university teaching during and after the COVID-19 crisis: Refocusing teacher presence and learning activity. *Postdigital Science and Education*, 2, 923–945.
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339.
- Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review. *British Journal of Management*, 14(3), 207–222.
- Turnbull, D., Chugh, R., & Luck, J. (2021). Learning management systems: A review of the research methodology literature in Australia and China. *International Journal of Research & Method in Education*, 44(2), 164–178.
- Webster, J., & Watson, R. T. (2002). Analyzing the past to prepare for the future: Writing a literature review. *MIS Quarterly*, 26(2), 13–23.
- Xie, K., & Ke, F. (2011). The role of students' motivation in peer-moderated asynchronous online discussions. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 42(6), 916–930.
- Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case Study Research and Applications: Design and Methods*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview. *Theory Into Practice*, 41(2), 64–70.